



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

അധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകൃതമായ

Vol. LII
നമ്പർ 52

Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday

തിരുവന്നന്തപുരം, ഫെബ്രുവരി

3rd July 2007

2007 ജൂലൈ 3

12th Ashadha 1929

1929 അഷ്ടാവദ്ദം 12

No.

നമ്പർ

27

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 410/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Registrar, University of Kerala, University Office, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above

referred establishment Sri Amjad Sait, T. C. 49/131, Puthiyavilakom Veedu, Kamaleswaram, Manakkad P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri Amjad Sait, Provisional Assistant Grade-II, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram from the service of the University is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 438/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Ltd., Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Working President, Kerala State Estate Labour Union (INTUC), Peermade P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Albert, C. R. No. 4803 by the management of Wallardie Estate is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 455/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. Salimkumar, Proprietor, KL-2-F/9595, Renjini Travels (Olympics) KG IX/353, Royal Nagar, House No. 1, Asramam South, Kollam, (2) Sri S. Sreekumar, Deeparee, Mangad, Mangad P. O., (3) Sri P. Akash, Pasupalamandiram, Mangad, Mangad P. O. and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon Dist. .

Motor & Mechanical Workers Union (CITU), CITU Bhavan, Kollam-13 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (e) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to workers Sri P. Suman (Driver), G. Mohan (Back-door conductor) and Sahadevan (Cleaner) of K. L. 2 F/9593, Rengini Travels (Olympics), Kollam by the management is justifiable by transferring the ownership of the vehicle? If not what relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 539/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between Sri C. R. Krishnamohan, Managing Partner, Sree Kalieswary Tile Works (West), Puthukkad, Chakkungathodiyl House, Railway Station Road, Puthukkad-680 301, Thrissur District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri Antony Kuttukkaran, General Secretary, Thrissur District National Tile Workers Union (INTUC), Alagappa Nagar-680 302 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to the enlisted workers (list attached along with charter of demand) and subsequently closing down of Sreekalieswary Tile Works (West), Puthukkad by the management are justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to get?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 752/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th March 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between Sri P. M. Hussain (Umi), Peediakal Veedu, Velikad P.O., Mundoor,

Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri Sibi Mathew, Vadakkethadathil, Nochuppally P. O., Mundoor, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Sibi Mathew, Tapper, by Sri Hussain, Peediakal Veedu, Mundoor is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 852/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th March 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the Manager, Cochin Malabar Estates and Industries Ltd., Pullikkanam Estate, Pullikkanam P. O., and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Highrange Estate Thozhilali Union Reg. No. 170/70, Peermade P. O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri O. Baby, P. P. No. KR/346/412 by the management of Pullikkanam Estate is justifiable? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 860/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th March 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the Secretary, Hospital Development Committee, Mathru Sisu Samrakshana Kendram, Medical College, Kozhikode and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Govt. Hospital Security Staff Welfare Association, Kerala State, Prayaga, M. M. Ali Road, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether S/Sri (1) M. P. Haridasan, (2) C. Narayanan, (3) V. Krishnan, (4) C. Unnikutty, (5) Viswan Poyil (6) M. Rajan, (7) P. Appupty, (8) K. Chandran, (9) K. P. Pavithran, (10) P. Jayan, (11) K. Sreedharan, (12) M.T. Chandramohan, (13) A.P. Kelukutty, (14) P. V. Mohandas, (15) A. K. Krishnan, (16) Narayananakutty Nair, P. (17) B. R. Prasad, (18) K. K. Balakrishnan, (19) M. Raveendran Nair, (20) T. Velayudhan, (21) K. Sasidharan, (22) P. V. Gangadharan, (23) M. M. Krishnankutty, (24) P. Anandan, (25) P. S. Unni, (26) K. K. Sivadasan, (27) P. Chandran working under Hospital Development Committee, Institute of Maternal and Child Health, Kozhikode as Security employees on daily wages are entitled for permanency in the employment? If so the terms and conditions of employment.

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 902/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between Sri B. M. Aboobacker, Proprietor, Malabar Trades, Gulf Bazar, Bank Road, Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. T. Babu, Kishakkethachamkode, Marygummu P. O., Kozhikode-12 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. T. Babu, Security Staff, Malabar Trades, Gulf Bazar, Kozhikode by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 923/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between Smt. T. V. Jameela w/o T. V. Muhammad, Managing Partner, Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer), Kaithavalappil Veedu, Thazhcpalam, Tirur and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri V. Noorudheen, Vellatboo, Veedu, Vallikanniram P. O., Niramaithode, Tirur, Malappuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri V. Noorudheen, Attender of Laila Petroleum (Indian Oil Dealer), Thazhcpalam, Tirur by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief is he entitled to get?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 924/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between (1) The Principal, Government College of Engineering, Kannur (2) Warden, Government College of Engineering Women's Hostel, Kannur and the workmen of the above referred establishment Smt. Karthiyani, Korambath House, Thottada P. O., Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment and non-payment of minimum wages for the last 6 years to Smt. Karthiyani, cook, Government College of Engineering Women's Hostel, Kannur by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 925/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Kairali Estate & Exports (Pvt.) Ltd., Karappara, Padagiri P. O., Nelliampathi and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri R. Govinda Raju, s/o Ramayya Nadar, Kairali Estate Padagiri Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri R. Govindaraju, Section Officer, Kairali Estate is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(12)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 938/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th April 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists Sri Moideen Kutty, Managing Director, Mallykkal Roller Flour Mill, Salamath Nagar, Near Civil Station, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Palakkad Taluk Engineering and Industrial General Workers Union (CITU), Pudussery P. O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment of 9 workers (shown in annexure) by the Management of Mallykkal Roller Flour Mill, Kanjikode is justifiable? If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to?"

- (1) Manikandam, M. (2) Anshad, K.
- (3) Manoj, R., (4) Asanar Babu, (4) Abdul Rahiman, (6) Praveen, (7) Bindu,
- (8) Simmol, (9) Sheeba.

By order of the Governor,

*SUREEPEN,
Under Secretary to Government.*